



---

**ASSESSMENT OF ANTIMICROBIAL SUSCEPTIBILITY PATTERNS IN URINE  
CULTURE OF PATIENTS WITH URINARY TRACT INFECTION ATTENDING AL-  
KINDY TEACHING HOSPITAL**

**SAAD BADAI NASHTAR\*, IMAD HASHIM\*\*, SABA JASSIM HAMDAN\***

\*Lecturer, Pharmacology department, Al-Kindy College of medicine, University of Baghdad.

\*\* Assistant professor, Pharmacology department, Al-Kindy College of medicine, University of  
Baghdad

Received 15<sup>th</sup> Feb. 2018; Revised 15<sup>th</sup> March. 2018; Accepted 11<sup>th</sup> April 2018; Available online 1<sup>st</sup> June 2018

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31032/IJBPAS/2018/7.6.4463>

**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) is a common and serious health problem affecting millions of people each year around the World, especially females. UTI treatment relies on the predictability of the agents causing UTI and knowledge of their antimicrobial susceptibility patterns.

**Objectives:** To identify the bacterial species causing UTI in Iraqi patients and to assess microbial susceptibility towards the commonly used antimicrobials.

**Methods:** This prospective, observational study was conducted in AL-Kindy teaching hospital. Urine culture and susceptibility reports of 168 UTI outpatients collected for one year (2016), the number and percentage of the isolated microorganisms was recorded then the percentage of susceptibility of all these pathogens toward each of the used antimicrobials was calculated.

**Results:** It was found that *E coli* was the most common bacteria isolated (48.8%) followed by *Klebsiella* (11.3%) and *Enterococcus fecalis* (8.33%). The most susceptible antimicrobials were carbapenem (95.8%), amikacin (86.8%), nitrofurantoin (80%) and gentamycin (77.4 %). Ampicillin and trimethprim were the less susceptible (16.7% and 16.8% respectively). Second

---

---

generation cephalosporin cephalothin also showed weak susceptibility (23.3%) while third generation ceftriaxon and cefotaxime have shown a better effect (60.9 and 73.1%) respectively.

Conclusion: Although treatment of UTI better follow C&S, aminoglycosides or third generation cepaholsporins with nitrofurantoin is the best combination. Ampicillin, amoxicillin and trimethoprim are better avoided. It is better to preserve carbapenem for the resistant cases.

**Key words: urinary tract infection, antimicrobials, culture and sensitivity.**

## INTRODUCTION:

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is a common disease affecting millions of people all over the world especially females. It occurs when bacterial infection affects any part of the urinary system like renal pelvis and urinary bladder. UTI is the most common bacterial infection accounting for 25% of all infections [1]. Bacteruria is not always present, symptomatic UTI mostly associated with dysuria and frequency which are the chief complaint of the vast majority of patients [2]. Urinary tract infection is a heterogeneous disease which can be divided into several types of infections such as acute, uncomplicated bacterial pyelonephritis, complicated UTI, recurrent cystitis and asymptomatic bacteriuria. Acute UTI is one of the most common bacterial infections among women presenting to primary care [3].

The Major causative organisms for UTI are Escherichia coli, klebsiella species, proteus species, pseudomonas species and staphylococcus species [4][5].

The introduction of antimicrobial therapy has contributed significantly to management of UTIs [6]. The antimicrobial agents used in treatment of UTI include cell wall inhibitors like penicillins (ampicillin, piperacillin, pencillin), third generation cephalosporin (cefotaxime, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone), DNA gyrase inhibitors floroquinolones (ciprofloxacin) and aminoglycosides (amikacin, gentamycin) [7]. Area-specific monitoring studies are necessary to understand the types of pathogens responsible for UTIs and their resistance patterns in order to help clinicians to select the best antimicrobial therapy [8]. Continuous assessment of bacterial susceptibility towards antimicrobials is essential since the latter efficacy decrease with time as bacterial resistance get higher.

## Objectives:

- 1- To identify the bacterial species causing urinary tract infection in Iraqi patients.

- 2- To re-assess microbial susceptibility towards the commonly used antimicrobials.

## METHODS

This cross-sectional, observational study was conducted in AL-Kindy teaching hospital between (1<sup>st</sup> of January-31<sup>st</sup> December 2016). A total of 168 positive urine culture and sensitivity (C&S) were recorded, culture reports obtained from the microbiology department. Urine samples were collected by a standard loop technique in sterile containers and cultured on blood and MacConkey agar media to know if there is growth of bacteria, then incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 hr. and extended up to 48hr. in cases of G-ve. Identification of isolates was done by standard method depending on observation of colony characteristics and antimicrobial susceptibility test was performed by disc diffusion method using Muller-Hinton agar and choose of antibiotic disks according to type of isolated bacteria. If there is growth of bacteria around antibiotics disc in inhibition zone this means that the bacteria is sensitive to this antibiotic and vice versa if there is no growth [9].

Data were represented as numbers and percentages.

## RESULTS

### 1-Identification of bacterial species associated with UTI infection:

In this study 13 bacterial species were isolated that commonly cause UTI. **Figure 1** below shows these isolated microbial pathogens.

The most common organism was *Escherichia coli* 82(48.8%) followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* 19(11.3%), *Enterococcus faecalis* 14 (8.33%) and least sensitive microorganisms were *Streptococcus faecalis* 5(2.97%), *Streptococcus agalactiae*, *Staphylococcus spp.*, *Acinetobacter baumannii* 1(0.59%) all.

### 2-bacterial susceptibility toward the used antimicrobials:

The results have revealed both microbial susceptibility and resistance toward different drugs the susceptibility was variable according to the species of microbes.

Aminoglycosides like amikacin and gentamycin have shown high susceptibility (86.8%) and (77.4%) respectively. Penicillins has shown a variable range of susceptibility since it is weak as in ampicillin (16.7%) , intermediate as in penicillin (35.7%) and amoxicillin-clavulenic acid combination (38.46%) and increase with the antipseudomonal penicillin piperacillin that has shown higher susceptibility (47.8%), the

newer beta lactam agent carbapenem has shown a highest susceptibility among all antimicrobials (95.8%) .

Cephalosporins also have shown a variable range of susceptibility since the second generation cephalosporin cephalothin was (23.3%) sensitive. Third generation Ceftriaxone and cefotaxime were (60 and 73.1 %) sensitive respectively.

Trimethoprim was shown high resistance (83.2%) and low sensitive toward microorganism (16.8%) while the urinary antiseptic nitrofurantoin approved a high susceptibility (80%). Antimicrobial susceptibility is listed in table 1 below.




Fig.1: Percentage of bacterial species isolated among UTI patients

Table(1); Bacterial susceptibility percentage towards the used antimicrobials

Antimicrobial	Total number of antimicrobial use (100%)	Sensitivity No. (%)	Resistance No. (%)
Amikacin	114	99(86.8%)	15(13.2%)
Gentamycin	155	120(77.4%)	35(22.6%)
Nitrofurantoin	150	120(80%)	30(20%)
Trimethoprim	113	19(16.8%)	94(83.2%)
Ciprofloxacin	88	43(48.9%)	45(51.1%)
Cephalothin	43	10(23.3%)	33(76.7%)
Cefotaxime	67	49(73.1%)	18(26.9%)
Ceftriaxone	23	14(60.9%)	9(39.1%)
Penicillin	14	5(35.71%)	9(64.28%)
Ampicillin	36	6(16.7%)	30(83.3%)
clavulenic acid Amoxicillin +	13	5(38.46%)	8(61.53%)
Carbapenem	24	23(95.83%)	1(4.16%)
Piperacillin	23	11(47.8%)	12(52.1%)

---

**DISCUSSION**

The uropathogens found in this study are similar to uropathogens identified in other studies conducted in different regions of Iraq. The similarities and differences in the type and distribution of uropathogens may result from different environmental conditions and host factors, and practices such as healthcare and education programmes, socioeconomic standards and hygiene practices<sup>[10]</sup>.

**1-bacterial species associated with UTI:**

Among different uropathogens, the most predominant organism was found to be *E. coli* (48.8%), as compared to (39%) in the study done in Baghdad hospital<sup>[11]</sup>, in Al-Qassim hospital in Babylon was (40%).<sup>[12]</sup> While in Tikrit hospital study the percentage was (31.1%)<sup>[13]</sup>. The dominance of *E. coli* is followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (11.3%) in this study while it was (13.3%) in Tikrit hospital, (17%) in Baghdad hospital study, (2.3%) in Al-Qassim hospital in Babylon. *Enterococcus faecalis* account (8.3%) in this study while in Baghdad hospital was (7%). *Proteus vulgaris* was (3.5%) as compared to (1%) in Baghdad hospital study. Finally, *Acinetobacter* percentage is very low in this study was (0.59%) while in Baghdad hospital study it was (1%).

The present findings were in accordance with many other studies<sup>[14,15]</sup> which have

shown predominance of Gram-negative bacteria specially *E. coli* with an isolation rates ranged between 40-69%. This was due to the fact that strains of *E. coli* affecting the urinary tract possess a variety of virulence characteristics hemolysin production and presence of fimbriae that facilitate their intestinal carriage, persistence in vagina and then ascension and invasion of the anatomically normal urinary tract<sup>[16]</sup>.

**2-Antibacterial susceptibility:**

Bacteria susceptibility towards some of the antimicrobials used in this study has revealed that for amikacin (86.8%), gentamycin (77.4%), ceftriaxon (60.9%), cefotaxime (73.1%), piperacillin (47.8%), ciprofloxacin (48.9%), while in a study conducted in Baghdad hospital (2012) their susceptibility was for amikacin (94%), gentamycin (36%), ceftriaxon (33%), piperacillin (29%) and ciprofloxacin (46%)<sup>[11]</sup>. In Al-Qassim hospital study susceptibility of these antimicrobials was amikacin (87.5%), gentamycin (75%), ceftriaxon (40.1%) and ciprofloxacin (80%)<sup>[12]</sup>. Tikrit study (2013) has shown that amikacin (95.7%), Gentamicin susceptibility was (53.5%) and ciprofloxacin (80.7%)<sup>[13]</sup>. Trimethoprim susceptibility is (16.8%) while in another

study done in Al-Nahrain university (20%) [17].

High level of resistance to trimethoprim may be due in part to misuse of this drug. On the other hand, the most isolates showed high susceptibility to Ciprofloxacin. Ciprofloxacin have antibacterial activity due to the Ciprofloxacin interferes with nucleic acid synthesis by enzyme which inhibit the bacterial isolates, it has several binding sites on the enzyme and thus decrease the probability of resistance [18]. Ciprofloxacin have frequently been a reliable therapeutic intervention in UTIs because of its broad spectrum of activity as well as strong action on Gram-negatives. However it is advocated that they should not be used as a first line Antibiotic due to its serious side effects profile especially younger patients and it's cost [19].

The present study showed a high elevation in antibiotic resistance of pathogens isolated from the Iraqi patients compared to previous years [20]. But certain agents like gentamicin and nitrofurantoin still show a moderate efficacy against UTI pathogens because of its multiple mechanisms of action seem to have enabled it to retain potent activity against pathogens [21]

Internationally, increasing resistance to third generation cephalosporin was observed

both in *E.coli* and *klebsiella pneumoniae*, in *E.coli* rising from 11.9% in 2012 to 13.1% in 2015 .while, in *klebsiella pneumonia* was rising from 25.8% in 2012 to 30.3% in 2015. In addition, an increase in combined resistance to fluoroquinolones, third generation cephalosporins and aminoglycoside was observed also in *E.coli* and *klebsiella pneumonia* from 4.9% in 2012 to 5.3% in 2015 to these three drugs .the annual report also shows that antimicrobial resistance levels in Europe continue to vary by geographic region, with countries in northern and western Europe generally having much lower levels of resistance than those in southern and eastern Europe [22].

## CONCLUSION

Urinary tract infection is one of most common medical disease encountered in medical practice with significant morbidity and health costs, occurring from neonate to elderly. In this study, most commonly found organisms were *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* Penicillins has shown highly resisted except carbapenem. Trimethprim, second generation cephalosporin (cephalothin) and ciprofloxacin also were highly resisted.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Antimicrobial use in treatment of UTI better to be after doing culture and

susceptibility to reduce the usage of unneeded drugs and consequently, bacterial resistance.

2. Blind use of antimicrobials for UTI better to include amikacin and nitrofurantoin unless contra-indicated.
3. Carbapenem should be preserved for highly resistant antimicrobials.
4. Piperacillin better to be preserved for pseudomonal UTI only.

## REFERENCE

- [1] P Thapa , K Parajuli , A Poudel , A Thapa , B Manandhar , D Laudari , HB Malla , R Katiwada. Causative agents and susceptibility of antimicrobials in suspected females with UTI in tertiary care hospitals of western Nepal. *Journal of Chitwan Medical College* 2013; 3(4): 16-19
- [2] Iram Shaifali, Uma Gupta, Syed Esam Mahmood, and Jawed Ahmed. Antibiotic Susceptibility Patterns of Urinary Pathogens in Female Outpatients. *N Am J Med Sci.* 2012 Apr; 4(4): 163–169.
- [3] Akortha EE, Ibadin OK. Incidence and Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* Amongst Patients with Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) in UBTH Benin City, Nigeria. *Afr J Biotechnol* 2008; 7: 1637-1640.
- [4] Ghimire P, Parajuli K. *A Text Book of Medical Microbiology*. 1st ed. Kathmandu, Vidyarthi Pustak Bhandar 2006; 89-158.
- [5] Jellheden B, Norrby RS, Sandberg T. Symptomatic urinary tract infection in women in primary health care. Bacteriological, clinical and diagnostic aspects in relation to host response to infection. *Scand J Prim Health Care.* 1996; 14 (2): 122-8.
- [6] Sood S, Gupta R. Antibiotic resistance pattern of community acquired uropathogens at a tertiary care hospital in Jaipur, Rajasthan. *Ind J Comm Med.* 2012; 37(1):39-44.
- [7] Hryniewicz K, Szczypa K, Sulikowska A, Jankowski K, Betlejewska K, Hryniewicz W. Antibiotic susceptibility of bacterial strains isolated from urinary tract infections in Poland. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2001; 47(6):773-80.
- [8] Rahem Khoshbakht ; Ayub Salimi; Hesamaddin Shirzad Aski; and Hale Keshavarzi . Antibiotic Susceptibility of Bacterial Strains Isolated From Urinary Tract Infections in Karaj, Iran. *Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology*, 2012; 6(1): 86-90.

- [9] Beyene G, Tsegaye W. Bacterial uropathogens in urinary tract infection and antibiotic susceptibility pattern in Jimma University specialized hospital, southwest ethiopia. *Ethiop J Health Sci.* 2011; **21**(2):141-6.
- [10] Farajnia S, Alikhani MY, Ghotaslou R, Naghili B, Nakhband A. Causative Agents and Antimicrobial Susceptibilities of Urinary Tract Infections in the Northwest of Iran Safar. *Int J Infect Dis* 2009; **13**: 140-144.
- [11] Hussein NS. Clinical, Etiology and Antibiotic Susceptibility Profiles of Community- *Med Surg Urol* 2014, **3**:2.
- [12] Nada Khazal Kadhim Hindi, Shaimaa O. Hasson and Shatha Khazal Kadhim Hindi: Bacteriological Study of Urinary Tract Infections with Antibiotics Susceptibility to Bacterial Isolates among Honeymoon Women in Al Qassim Hospital, Babylon Province, Iraq *British Biotechnology Journal*, Vol.: 3, Issue.: 3 (July-September), 2231-2927
- [13] Mohemid M. Al-Jebouri, Salih A. Mdish. Antibiotic Resistance Pattern of Bacteria Isolated from Patients of Urinary Tract Infections in Iraq. *Open Journal of Urology*, 2013, **3**, 124-131
- [14] Amin M, Mehdinejad M, Pourdangchi Z. Study of Bacteria Isolated from Urinary Tract Infections and Determination of Their Susceptibility to Antibiotics. *Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology* 2009; **2**: 118-123.
- [15] Adedeji, B.A.; Abdul Kadir, O.A. Etiology and antimicrobial resistance pattern of bacterial agents of urinary tract infections in students of Tertiary Institutions in Yola Metropolis; *Advan. Bi ol. Res.* **3**(3-4), 67-70, 2009.
- [16] Mehr, M.T.; Khan, H.; Khan, T.M.; Iman, N.U.; Iqbal, S.; Adnan, S. *E. coli* urine superbug and its antibiotic sensitivity: A prospective study; *Med. Sci.* **18**(2), 110-113, 2010.
- [17] Maha A. Mahmood. Prevalence and Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Pathogens in Urinary Tract Infections. *Journal of Al-Nahrain University* Vol.14 (4), December, 2011, pp.146-152.

- 
- [18] Kebira, A.N.; Ochol A, P.; Khamadi, S.A. Isolation and antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Escherichia coli* causing urinary tract infections; Appl . Biosc. 22, 1320-1325, 2009.
- [19] Atienza Morales, M.P.; Castellote Varona, F.J.; Romero Portilla, C. Urinary tract infection and antibiotic sensitivity in the south of Albacete; Spain. An. Med. Interna. 16(5), 236-238, 1999.
- [20] Kareem I, Rasheed I. Antibiotic Susceptibilities of Gram Negative Aerobic Bacteria Isolated from Urinary Tract Infections in Community. IRAQI J MED SCI. 2011; 9.
- [21] Ozumba, U.C. Increasing incidence of bacterial resistance to antibiotics by isolates from the urinary tract; Niger. J. Clin. Pract. 8(2),107-109, 2005
- [22] ECDC (European centre for disease prevention and control). Antimicrobial resistance surveillance in Europe 2015 (surveillance report, Jan. 2017). <https://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/antimicrobial-resistance-surveillance-europe-2015?ID=1637&List=4f55ad51-4aed-4d32-b960-af70113dbb90>. DOI: 12 April 2017.